

REMARKS

Claims 1-33 are canceled above, and new claims 34-51 are added. Reconsideration of the application is requested.

The abstract of the disclosure is amended above and is fully in compliance with MPEP 608.01(b).

Certain specification paragraphs are amended above following consideration of the comments provided by the Examiner in section 2 on pages 2-3 of the Office Action. The specification is considered fully in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.71 and other applicable regulations and guidelines.

Drawing reference number 45 is referred to in specification paragraph 0026.

The comments provided by the Examiner in sections 4 and 5 on pages 3-4 of the Office Action are noted, but the drawings as originally filed are considered adequate. The drawings as originally filed show the features referred to in new claims 34-51 in a manner which complies with the requirements of 37 CFR § 1.83(a). It is respectfully submitted that inconsistencies such as those referred to in section 5 of the Office Action are inconsequential. Finally, the top part generally indicated in the drawings by reference number 17 includes the upper cross member more particularly indicated by reference number 19.

The objection set forth in section 6 on pages 4-7 of the Office Action is moot. The terminology used in new claims 34-51 is clear.

The written description of the invention as defined by new claims 34-51 is adequate, and the specification fully complies with the requirements of 35 U.S.C § 112, first paragraph.

The rejection set forth in section 10 on pages 9-10 of the Office Action is moot as a result of the claim amendments made above. It is respectfully submitted that new claims 34-51 comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Reconsideration of the rejections based on U.S. Patent 4,673,206 to Kretschmer et al. is requested. It is respectfully submitted that the Kretschmer et al. device does not constitute a radiator accommodating arrangement having a supporting frame and an air guiding element and in which the air guiding element extends, is constructed in one piece, is connected, and ends with a lower end region configured and oriented as new claim 34 particularly defines. The invention as defined by new claim 34 is neither anticipated by nor obvious in view of the Kretschmer et al. disclosure, and it is respectfully submitted that claim 34 is patentable. The rest of the claims added above are dependent claims and are patentable as well.

This application is now in condition for allowance for reasons discussed above. If there are any questions regarding this Reply or the application in general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: *August 24, 2005*

Richard R. Diefendorf
Registration No. 32,390

CROWELL & MORING LLP
Intellectual Property Group
P.O. Box 14300
Washington, DC 20044-4300
Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844
RRD:rd